arXiv:1205.0864v1 [math.GN] 4 May 2012

ON PERFECT METRIZABILITY OF THE FUNCTOR OF IDEMPOTENT PROBABILITY MEASURES

A.A.Zaitov, Kh.F.Kholturayev

Abstract

In this paper we establish that the functor of idempotent probability measures acting in the category of compacta and their continuous mappings is perfect metrizable.

Keywords: metric, idempotent probability measures.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C65, 52A30; Secondary 28A33.

The notion of idempotent measure finds important applications in different parts of mathematics, mathematical physics, economics, mathematical biology and others. One can find a row of applications of idempotent mathematics from [1].

Consider the set $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers with two algebraic operations: addition $\oplus$ and multiplication $\odot$ defined as $u \oplus v = \max\{u, v\}$ and $u \odot v = u + v$. $\mathbb{R}$ forms semifield with respect to this operations and, the unity $\mathbf{1} = 0$ and zero $\mathbf{0} = -\infty$, i. e.

(i) the addition $\oplus$ and the multiplication $\odot$ are associative;
(ii) the addition $\oplus$ is commutative;
(iii) the multiplication $\odot$ is distributive with respect to the addition $\oplus$;
(iv) each nonzero element $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is invertible.

It denotes by $\mathbb{R}_{\max}$. It is idempotent, i. e. $x \oplus x = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and commutative, i. e. the multiplication $\odot$ is commutative.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, $C(X)$ the algebra of continuous functions $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with the usual algebraic operations. On $C(X)$ the operations $\oplus$ and $\odot$ define as follow:

$\varphi \oplus \psi = \max\{\varphi, \psi\}$, where $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$,
$\varphi \odot \psi = \varphi + \psi$, where φ, $\psi \in C(X)$,
$\lambda \odot \varphi = \varphi + \lambda_X$, where $\varphi \in C(X)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and λ_X is a constant function.

Recall [2] that a functional $\mu : C(X) \to \mathbb{R}(\subset \mathbb{R}_{\max})$ is called to be an idempotent probability measure on X, if:

1) $\mu(\lambda_X) = \lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
2) $\mu(\lambda \odot \varphi) = \mu(\varphi) + \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi \in C(X)$;
3) $\mu(\varphi \oplus \psi) = \mu(\varphi) \oplus \mu(\psi)$ for every φ, $\psi \in C(X)$.

For a compact Hausdorff space X a set of all idempotent probability measures on X denotes by $I(X)$. Consider $I(X)$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$. In the induced topology the sets of the view

$$\langle \mu; \varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_k; \varepsilon \rangle = \{\nu \in I(X) : |\mu(\varphi_i) - \nu(\varphi_i)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, ..., k\},$$

form a base of neighborhoods of the idempotent measure $\mu \in I(X)$, where $\varphi_i \in C(X)$, $i = 1, ..., k$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. The topology generated by this base coincide with pointwise topology on $I(X)$. The topological space $I(X)$ is compact [2]. Given a map $f : X \to Y$ of compact Hausdorff spaces the map $I(f) : I(X) \to I(Y)$ defines by the formula $I(f)(\mu)(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi \circ f)$, $\mu \in I(X)$, where $\varphi \in C(Y)$. The construction I is a covariant functor, acting in the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and their continuous mappings. Moreover, I is uniform metizable functor [3].

Since I is normal functor then for an arbitrary idempotent measure $\mu \in I(X)$ we may define the support of μ: $\mathrm{supp}\mu = \bigcap\{A \subset X : \overline{A} = A, \mu \in I(A)\}$. For brevity, put $S\mu = \mathrm{supp}\mu$. For a positive integer n put $I_n(X) = \{\mu \in I(X) : |S\mu| \leq n\}$. Put $I_\omega(X) =$

$\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n(X)$. It is known [2] that $I_\omega(X)$ is everywhere dense in $I(X)$. An idempotent probability measure $\mu \in I_\omega(X)$ is named as an idempotent probability measure with finite support. Note that if μ is an idempotent probability measure with a finite support $S\mu = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k\}$ then it represents in the form

$$\mu = \lambda_1 \odot \delta_{x_1} \oplus \lambda_2 \odot \delta_{x_2} \oplus ... \oplus \lambda_k \odot \delta_{x_k} \tag{1}$$

uniquely, where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}$, $i = 1, ..., k$, $\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2 \oplus ... \oplus \lambda_k = \mathbf{1}$.

Let $\mu_1,\ \mu_2 \in I_\omega(X)$. Then by (1) we have $\mu_k = \bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_k \odot \delta_{x_{ki}}$, $i = 1,\ 2$. Put

$$\Lambda_{12} = \Lambda(\mu_1,\ \mu_2) = \{\xi \in I(X^2) : I(\pi_i)(\xi) = \mu_i,\ i = 1, 2\},$$

where $\pi_i : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ is projection onto i-th factor, $i = 1, 2$. By definition for each idempotent probability measure $\xi \in \Lambda(\mu_1,\ \mu_2)$ we have $\bigoplus\limits_{(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) \in S\xi} |\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{1j}| \odot \rho(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) < \infty$. On the other hand as the set $\{|\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{1j}| \odot \rho(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) : j = 1, ..., n_1; k = 1, ..., n_2\}$ is finite there exists the number $\min\limits_{\xi \in \Lambda_{12}} \{ \bigoplus\limits_{(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) \in S\xi} |\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{1j}| \odot \rho(x_{1j}, x_{2k})\}$. Put

$$H(\mu_1,\ \mu_2) = \min_{\xi \in \Lambda_{12}} \{ \bigoplus_{(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) \in S\xi} |\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{1j}| \odot \rho(x_{1j}, x_{2k})\}.$$

In [4] shown that the map $\rho_\omega : I_\omega(X) \times I_\omega(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $\rho_\omega(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \min\{\mathrm{diam} X,\ H(\mu_1,\ \mu_2)\}$ is metric. Moreover, the function $\rho_I : I(X) \times I(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ which is an extension of ρ_ω onto completion $I(X)$ of $I_\omega(X)$ is a metric as well.

We note this paper is continuation of papers [3-4]

Let now recall some notions. Put $I^0(X) = X$, $I^n(X) = I(I^{n-1}(X))$, $n = 1,\ 2,\ ...$. Consider two systems η and ψ. The system η consists of all maps $\eta_X : X \to I(X)$, $X \in Comp$, where η_X defines as $\eta_X(x) = \delta_x$, $x \in X$. Here δ_x is a Dirac measure concentrated on $\{x\}$. The system ψ consists of all mappings $\psi_X : I^2(X) \to I(X)$, acting as the following. Given $M \in I^2(X)$ put $\psi_X(M)(\varphi) = M(\overline{\varphi})$, where for any function $\varphi \in C(X)$ the function $\overline{\varphi} : I(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ defines by the formula $\overline{\varphi}(\mu) = \mu(\varphi)$. Fix compactum X and for a positive integer n put $\psi_{n+1,n} = \psi_{I^{n-1}(X)} : I^{n+1}(X) \to I^n(X)$ and $\eta_{n,\ n+1} = \eta_{I^n(X)} : I^n(X) \to I^{n+1}(X)$. Note that $\psi_{n+1,n} \circ \eta_{n,\ n+1} = Id_{I^n(X)}$ [2].

Lemma 1. *$\psi_{0,\ 1} : I^2(X) \to I(X)$ is non-expanding map.*

Proof. It is enough to consider idempotent probability measures with everywhere finite supports. Let M_1 and M_2 are such measures from $I^2(X)$ and let $SM_1 = \{\mu_{11},\ \mu_{12},\ ...,\ \mu_{1n_1}\}$, $SM_2 = \{\mu_{21},\ \mu_{22},\ ...,\ \mu_{2n_2}\}$, be the their supports, where μ_{lk} are idempotent probability measures with finite supports, $k = 1,\ ...,\ n_l$, $l = 1,\ 2$. Assume $S\mu_{lk} = \{x^l_{k1},\ ...,\ x^l_{kt_k}\}$. Then we have

$$M_l = m_{l1} \odot \delta_{\mu_{l1}} \oplus m_{l2} \odot \delta_{\mu_{l2}} \oplus\ ...\ \oplus m_{ln_l} \odot \delta_{\mu_{ln_l}},$$
$$\mu_{lk} = \lambda^l_{k1} \odot \delta_{x^l_{k1}} \oplus \lambda^l_{k2} \odot \delta_{x^l_{k2}} \oplus\ ...\ \oplus \lambda^l_{kt_k} \odot \delta_{x^l_{kt_k}},$$

where t_k are positive integers, $k = 1,\ 2,\ ...,\ n_l$, $l = 1,\ 2$. By definition for any $\varphi \in C(X)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_X(M_l)(\varphi) = M_l(\overline{\varphi}) = (m_{l1} \odot \delta_{\mu_{l1}} \oplus m_{l2} \odot \delta_{\mu_{l2}} \oplus\ ...\ \oplus m_{ln_1} \odot \delta_{\mu_{ln_l}})(\overline{\varphi}) = \\ = m_{l1} \odot \overline{\varphi}(\mu_{l1}) \oplus m_{l2} \odot \overline{\varphi}(\mu_{l2}) \oplus\ ...\ \oplus m_{ln_l} \odot \overline{\varphi}(\mu_{ln_l}) = \\ = m_{l1} \odot \mu_{l1}(\varphi) \oplus m_{l2} \odot \mu_{l2}(\varphi) \oplus\ ...\ \oplus m_{ln_l} \odot \mu_{ln_l}(\varphi) = \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
= m_{l1} \odot (\lambda^l_{11} \odot \delta_{x^l_{11}} \oplus \lambda^l_{12} \odot \delta_{x^l_{12}} \oplus \ \ldots \ \oplus \lambda^l_{1t_1} \odot \delta_{x^l_{1t_1}}(\varphi)) \oplus \\
\oplus m_{l2} \odot (\lambda^l_{21} \odot \delta_{x^l_{21}} \oplus \lambda^l_{22} \odot \delta_{x^l_{22}} \oplus \ \ldots \ \oplus \lambda^l_{2t_2} \odot \delta_{x^l_{2t_2}}(\varphi)) \oplus \ \ldots \oplus \\
\oplus m_{ln_l} \odot (\lambda^l_{n_l1} \odot \delta_{x^l_{n_l1}} \oplus \lambda^l_{n_l2} \odot \delta_{x^l_{n_l2}} \oplus \ \ldots \ \oplus \lambda^l_{n_lt_{n_l}} \odot \delta_{x^l_{n_lt_{n_l}}}(\varphi)) = \\
= \left(\bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_1} (m_{l1} \odot \lambda^l_{1s}) \odot \delta_{x^l_{1s}} \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_2} (m_{l2} \odot \lambda^l_{2s}) \odot \delta_{x^l_{2s}} \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_{n_l}} (m_{ln_l} \odot \lambda^l_{n_ls}) \odot \delta_{x^l_{n_ls}} \right)(\varphi) = \\
= \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n_l} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_k} (m_{lk} \odot \lambda^l_{ks}) \odot \delta_{x^l_{ks}} \right)(\varphi),
\end{gathered}
$$

i. e.

$$\psi_X(M_l) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n_l} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_k} (m_{lk} \odot \lambda^l_{ks}) \odot \delta_{x^l_{ks}} \qquad l = 1, \ 2. \tag{2}$$

From (2) immediately follows that

$$S\psi_X(M_l) = \{x^l_{11}, x^l_{12}, ..., x^l_{1t_1}, x^l_{21}, x^l_{22}, ..., x^l_{2t_2}, ..., x^l_{n_l1}, x^l_{n_l2}, ..., x^l_{n_lt_{n_l}}\}, \qquad l = 1, \ 2.$$

Suppose now Ξ be an existing according to Lemma 3 [3] idempotent probability measure from $\Lambda(M_1, \ M_2)$ such that

$$\rho_{I^2}(M_1, \ M_2) = \min\{ \bigoplus_{(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}) \in S\Xi} |m_{1i} - m_{2j}| \odot \rho_I(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}), \ \mathrm{diam} I(X)\}.$$

Let $\xi_{ij} \in \Lambda(\mu_{1i}, \ \mu_{2j})$ be idempotent measures existing by Lemma 3 [3]. Then since $\mathrm{diam} I(X) = \mathrm{diam} X$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{I^2}(M_1, M_2) = \min\{ \bigoplus_{(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}) \in S\Xi} (|m_{1i} - m_{2j}| \odot \bigoplus_{(x^1_{ip}, x^2_{jq}) \in S\xi_{ij}} |\lambda^1_{ip} - \lambda^2_{jq}| \odot \rho(x^1_{ip}, x^2_{jq})), \mathrm{diam} X\} = \\
= \min\{ \bigoplus_{(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}) \in S\Xi} (\bigoplus_{(x^1_{ip}, x^2_{jq}) \in S\xi_{ij}} |m_{1i} - m_{2j}| \odot |\lambda^1_{ip} - \lambda^2_{jq}| \odot \rho(x^1_{ip}, x^2_{jq})), \mathrm{diam} X\} \geq \\
\geq \min\{ \bigoplus_{(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}) \in S\Xi} (\bigoplus_{(x^1_{ip}, x^2_{jq}) \in S\xi_{ij}} |m_{1i} \odot \lambda^1_{ip} - m_{2j} \odot \lambda^2_{jq}| \odot \rho(x^1_{ip}, x^2_{jq})), \mathrm{diam} X\} \geq \\
\geq \min\{ \min_{\xi \in \Lambda(\psi_X(M_1), \psi_X(M_2))} \{ \bigoplus_{(x^1_{ip}, x^2_{jq}) \in S\xi_{ij}} |m_{1i} \odot \lambda^1_{ip} - m_{2j} \odot \lambda^2_{jq}| \odot \rho(x^1_{ip}, x^2_{jq})\}, \mathrm{diam} X\} = \\
= \rho_I(\psi_X(M_1), \psi_X(M_2)),
\end{gathered}
$$

i. e. $\rho_{I^2}(M_1, M_2) \geq \rho_I(\psi_X(M_1), \psi_X(M_2))$. Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2. *$\rho_I(\mu, \ \delta_{x_0}) = \rho_{I^2}(\delta_{\delta_{x_0}}, \ N)$ for each $N \in \psi_X^{-1}(\mu)$.*

Proof. According to our metric it is enough to consider idempotent probability measures with everywhere finite supports. Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$ and let $\mu \in I_\omega(X)$ be an arbitrary measure. Then μ has decomposition of the form (1). It is easy to see that measure $\mu \otimes \delta_{x_0} = \lambda_1 \odot \delta_{(x_1, x_0)} \oplus \lambda_2 \odot \delta_{(x_2, x_0)} \oplus \lambda_k \odot \delta_{(x_k, x_0)}$ is an unique element of the set $\Lambda(\mu, \delta_{x_0})$. Consequently we have

$$\rho_I(\mu, \delta_{x_0}) = \min\{\mathrm{diam} X, \ |\lambda_1| \odot \rho(x_1, x_0) \oplus |\lambda_2| \odot \rho(x_2, x_0) \oplus |\lambda_k| \odot \rho(x_k, x_0)\}.$$

Let now $N \in \psi_X^{-1}(\mu)$ be a measure with everywhere finite supports. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
N = \alpha_1 \odot \delta_{\nu_1} \oplus \alpha_2 \odot \delta_{\nu_2} \oplus ... \oplus \alpha_s \odot \delta_{\nu_s}, \\
\nu_i = \lambda^i_1 \odot \delta_{x^i_1} \oplus \lambda^i_2 \odot \delta_{x^i_2} \oplus ... \oplus \lambda^i_{k_i} \odot \delta_{x^i_{k_i}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i = 1,\ 2,\ ...,\ s$. Hence $S\psi_X(N) = \{x^i_j :\ j = 1,\ 2,\ ...,\ k_i;\ i = 1,\ 2,\ ...,\ s\}$. On the other hand $S\psi_X(N) = S\mu = \{x_1,\ x_2,\ ...,\ x_k\}$. Put $J_l = \{x^i_j \in S\psi_X(N) :\ x^i_j = x_l\}$, $l = 1,\ 2,\ ...,\ k$. After making slight modifications we can (2) rewrite in the view $\psi_X(N) = \bigoplus\limits_{l=1}^{k}(\bigoplus\limits_{x^i_j \in J_l} \alpha_i \odot \lambda^i_j) \odot \delta_{x_l}$. Since $\psi_X(N) = \mu$ then for each $l = 1,\ 2,\ ...,\ k$, we have $\lambda_l = \bigoplus\limits_{x^i_j \in J_l} \alpha_i \odot \lambda^i_j$.

Let now we find the distance between N and $\delta_{\delta_{x_0}}$:

$$\rho_{I^2}(N,\ \delta_{\delta_{x_0}}) = \min\{\mathrm{diam}I(X),\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}(|\alpha_i| \odot \rho_I(\nu_i,\ \delta_{x_0}))\} =$$

$$= \min\{\mathrm{diam}I(X),\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}(|\alpha_i| \odot \min\{\mathrm{diam}X,\ \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_i} |\lambda^i_j| \odot \rho(x^i_j,\ x_0)\})\} =$$

$$= \min\{\mathrm{diam}X,\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}(|\alpha_i| \odot \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_i} |\lambda^i_j| \odot \rho(x^i_j,\ x_0))\} =$$

$$= \min\{\mathrm{diam}X,\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_i}(|\alpha_i| \odot |\lambda^i_j| \odot \rho(x^i_j,\ x_0))\} =$$

$$= \min\{\mathrm{diam}X,\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_i}(|\alpha_i \odot \lambda^i_j| \odot \rho(x^i_j,\ x_0))\} =$$

$$= \min\{\mathrm{diam}X,\ \bigoplus_{l=1}^{k}\bigoplus_{x^i_j \in J_l}(|\alpha_i \odot \lambda^i_j| \odot \rho(x_l,\ x_0))\} =$$

$$= \min\{\mathrm{diam}X,\ \bigoplus_{l=1}^{k} |\lambda_l| \odot \rho(x_l,\ x_0))\} = \rho_I(\mu,\ \delta_{x_0}).$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

Lemma 3. *If* $\rho_I(\mu,\ \eta_{0,\ 1}(X)) \geq \varepsilon$ *then* $\rho_{I^2}(I(\eta_{0,\ 1})(\mu),\ \eta_{1,\ 2}(I(X))) \geq \varepsilon$.

Proof. As the above it is enough to consider idempotent probability measures with everywhere finite supports. Without loss of generality we may assume $\varepsilon \leq \mathrm{diam}X$. In this case $\rho_{I^n} = H_{I^n}$ for all positive integers n.

Let $\mu = \bigoplus\limits_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{x_l}$ be an arbitrary idempotent probability measure with finite support. For any $\Phi \in C(I(X))$ we have

$$I(\eta_{0,\ 1})(\mu)(\Phi) = I(\eta_{0,\ 1})(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{x_l})(\Phi) = (\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{x_l})(\Phi \circ \eta_{0,\ 1}) =$$

$$= \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{x_l}(\Phi \circ \eta_{0,\ 1}) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \Phi(\eta_{0,\ 1}(x_l) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \Phi(\delta_{x_l}) = (\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{\delta_{x_l}})(\Phi).$$

This means that $I(\eta_{0,\ 1})(\mu) = \bigoplus\limits_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{\delta_{x_l}}$.

Let now $N = \eta_{1,\ 2}(\bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i \odot \delta_{y_i}) = \delta_{\bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i \odot \delta_{y_i}}$ be an arbitrary idempotent probability measure with everywhere finite support from $\eta_{1,\ 2}(I(X))$. We have

$$H_{I^2}(I(\eta_{0,\ 1})(\mu),\ N) = H_{I^2}(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{\delta_{x_l}},\ \delta_{\bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i \odot \delta_{y_i}}) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} |\lambda_l| \odot H_I(\delta_{x_l},\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i \odot \delta_{y_i}) =$$

$$= \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} |\lambda_l| \odot \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_i| \odot \rho(x_l,\ y_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_i| \odot \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} |\lambda_l| \odot \rho(x_l,\ y_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_i| \odot H_I(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{x_l},\ \delta_{y_i}) =$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_i| \odot H_I(\mu,\ \delta_{y_i}) \geq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_i| \odot \min\{H_I(\mu,\ \delta_y):\ \delta_y \in \eta_{0,\ 1}(I(X))\} =$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_i| \odot H_I(\mu,\ \eta_{0,\ 1}(I(X))\} \geq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_i| \odot \varepsilon \geq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 3 is proved.

Now Theorem 1 [3], Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 imply the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1. *The functor I is perfect metrizable.*

Note that undelivered notions one can find in [5].

References

[1] G. L. Litvinov. *The Maslov dequantization, idempotent and tropical mathematics: a very brief introduction.* arXiv:math.GM/0501038v4 11 Jan 2006.

[2] M. Zarichnyi. *Idempotent probability measures, I.* //arXiv:math. GN/0608754v1 30 Aug 2006.

[3] A.A.Zaitov, I.I.Tojiev. *On uniform metrizability of the functor of idempotent probability measures.* //arxiv: 1204.0074v1 [math.GN] 31 March 2012.

[4] A.A.Zaitov, I.I.Tojiev. *On a metric of the space of idempotent probability measures.* //arxiv: 1006.3902v2 [math.GN] 15 March 2012.

[5] V. V. Fedorchuk. *Triples of infinite iterations of metrizable functors.* (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 54 (1990). No. 2. P. 396-417; translation in Math. USSR-Izv. 36 (1991). No. 2. P. 411-433.